

Autonomous Administration of Corporation through Workers Participation in Management

Garpruet Chinglunsana¹, Ashique Keruniyan¹, Singh Sendhu¹

¹Faculty of Management, Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University, India

Abstract

Workers participation in management, also known as WPM, is an advanced type of labour management cooperation that guarantees the concept of autonomous and democratic administration of a company in order to achieve peace and harmony in the workplace. As a consequence, a greater understanding and mutual trust may be established between the company and the employees. A constant communication between them helps to maintain industrial harmony and increase worker loyalty. This plan contributes to increased output and productivity, as well as the equitable distribution of productivity gains, via more effective management and improved industrial relations. However, all of the efforts undertaken by the government, employers, and other employees to increase the involvement of workers in industrial management have fallen short of the goals for which they were intended in India. This research examines Women's Participation in Medicine (WPM) in India and makes an effort to determine the reasons for low participation rates. It also makes some useful recommendations for overcoming the issue.

Keywords: Autonomy, Corporation, WPM

Received: April 15, 2021

Revised: May 27, 2021

Accepted: June 16, 2021

Introduction

Employers are faced with the task of finding innovative methods to promote higher productivity and employee work satisfaction, both of which are essential for ongoing success in a changing industrial environment. It is becoming clearer that, although a reformed and expanded system of collective bargaining must serve as the foundation of industrial democracy strategy, there should also be an employee voice at the boardroom level, which should be intimately linked with collective bargaining but articulated in a variety of institutional ways. The logical solution, according to many experts, is to implement a participatory management style in which workers are engaged in decision making. Employees' association with management is widely recognized as a very effective method of maintaining industrial democracy while also improving output and efficiency (Poole, 2017). It remains vague and nearly undefined despite the fact that the idea has become a catchphrase in recent years. Even though this idea seems to be becoming more and more established as a component of the Indian industrial relations system, participatory management philosophy will have to fight a lengthy battle for legitimacy. Changes that are taking place in industrial relations systems across the world will undoubtedly have an effect on their equivalents in India (Bean, 2021). Consequently, businesses encourage employees or workers to participate in its culture since it helps them increase their productivity while also assisting the company in reaching its ultimate objectives. However, the experience with the workers participation program in India has been mostly disappointing, which is ironic. This article makes an effort to investigate the Workplace Participation Management (WPM) process in Indian companies, which is at the heart of the participatory management style, and to chart the course of the process's development in India.

WPM refers to the process of achieving the broader objective of industrial democracy through which workers may exert influence on management choices at different hierarchical levels in

a company, as defined by the International Labour Organization (ILO). Participation in management develops and nurtures a sense of significance, pride, and achievement among employees, as well as the freedom to express oneself, a sense of belonging to the workplace, and a sense of craftsmanship and creativity (Powell et al., 2019). Employee participation, employee involvement in decision-making, participatory management, and workers' engagement in management are all terms used to describe the participation of employees in the decision-making process (Zhu et al., 2015; Duah Agyeman, 2012). Participation causes a significant shift in the emotions and attitudes of the employees, resulting in the establishment of a solid and functional partnership, thus guaranteeing industrial peace (Magolda, 2004). When applied to work, the Workplace Participation Model (WPM) allows employees to achieve self-realization while also meeting their physiological and psychological requirements. It does so by alleviating to a significant degree any feelings of futility, loneliness, and subsequent dissatisfaction that they may have in their regular corporate environment. As a result, WPM is geared toward the identification of shared interests between management and employees, as well as the pursuit of those interests via collaboration (Richards, 2006). Participants' performance, work happiness, and productivity all improve as a result of their involvement in the organization. In other words, it is a process of management that is conducted by, for, and with the people. Together, we can do more than we can alone. We can achieve more by working together.

WPM refers to not only the physical presence of employees, but also their mental and emotional participation. Through representatives, participants may participate not only individually, but also collectively as a group (Fischer & Qaim, 2014). WPM may take place in a professional or casual setting. The term refers to a system of communication and consultation that allows workers to voice their views and participate to management decision-making in both instances.

The degrees of involvement are given below in hierarchical ascending order, with the lowest level being the lowest. Informative participation: Employees are provided with knowledge about key elements of their jobs and are given the opportunity to voice their opinions (Constantin & Baias, 2015). Employees are only consulted on issues of employee welfare, which is of secondary significance to the organization (Avey et al., 2010). Associative participation is a degree of involvement in which management and employees work together to make choices (Park et al, 2010). It increases the involvement of employees in the performance of management responsibilities via administrative participation. Participation in decision-making: Decisions are made jointly, and all parties are equally liable and accountable for the success or failure of the choice as a result of it.

Listed below are the reasons in support of WPM: Enhanced productivity: Productivity rises when labour and management work together to their fullest extent. A higher level of individual commitment is a precondition for increased individual commitment, and one of the most essential is the person's participation and chance to express themselves at work. Reduced industrial unrest: Participation seeks to eliminate or at the very least reduce industrial unrest and competing interests by replacing collaboration, homogeneity, and shared interests for those that are opposed to participation. Management may make better choices as a result of participation since it tends to break down barriers and make information accessible to them. As a result, the quality of choices has improved. Human resource development: Participation in industrial management offers employees with education in the administration of their companies and encourages them to take the initiative and be creative. Workers' resistance to change has been reduced as a result of their participation in the decision-making process, which has provided them with the chance to understand what is expected of them and why. Their ability to resist change is diminished.

Acknowledging the significance of participatory management in improving the quality of managerial choices and teamwork (Giudici, & Filimonau, 2019). Identifying the most significant obstacles to participatory management practices. III) Making recommendations for resolving the issues facing the country. Working-place participation (WPM) is defined as the involvement of employees in decision-making as well as other key elements of an organization, and it is widely recognized as a necessary component of healthy labor-management relations. The researcher's study of WPM is required in order to determine the degree of workers' requirement in India, according to the researcher

Methods

To examine the experiences, the researchers utilized both primary and secondary data. Despite the fact that the research is mostly theoretical in nature, an effort has been made to provide empirical evidence for the theoretical aspects. The primary data is gathered via the use of an interview schedule. Second-hand information is gathered via the use of business brochures, websites, and annual reports. Using simple random sampling that seems to be convenient for the researcher or the organization's management has been chosen as the method of choice. The research team used a variety of statistical tools and methods in their work. The research team looked at renowned Sensex businesses in India that were involved in various industries such as technology, cement, infrastructure, automobiles, and so on. A random sample of 100 people was selected from a total population of 500 people. Personal interviews with trade union leaders, office bearers of various management organizations, and government officials were also conducted in order to get their perspectives on the issues. Preliminary surveys were pre-tested with a variety of people to ensure their validity. To fulfil the criterion, questions that were identified as unsuitable and difficult to comprehend, as well as those with a poor distribution of answers, have been changed or omitted. Furthermore, inside the questionnaire itself, there were built-in checks in the form of open-ended/qualitative questions that flagged incorrect answers to the quantitative parts of the questionnaire, and in such cases, the respondents were interviewed again after clarifying their concerns in order to get as accurate a representation of their actual responses as possible, which was the goal of the research. The accuracy of the research is dependent on secondary data as well as the information provided by the participants. Given that an employee's attitude about time may shift from one period to another, the findings of a period may not be relevant in the long term.

Results and Discussion

An important element of Indian labour policy is the promotion and protection of industrial democracy. The fact that legislative measures and programs are being created on a regular basis for managers and employees to communicate with one another shows that participation has not made much progress in India. The reluctance of management is a widespread cliché that is often used to explain this state of things. However, this is a highly complicated field, with a range of endogenous and exogenous factors determining its performance as determinants. Workers in India believe they are inferior and are unable to voice their opinions because of the vast disparity in educational levels and the rise of management. Humidification, on the other hand, is the number one opponent to the development of healthy trade unionism. Representatives are too self-conscious and inferior to raise their voices in opposition. Consequently, in the Indian workplace, the literacy level and thinking about organizational growth must both improve in order to make participation more remarkable.

In the perspective of management, Indian employees are inefficient and ignorant, and as a result, they are unable to make decisions effectively (Doloi et al., 2012). It is possible that a good choice will not be executed because it will not be supported by the employees. A participant's participation cannot be extended to more complex issues that need specialist

expertise. Management now expects the services of professionals who are well-versed in the most up-to-date procedures and approaches for the tasks they do (Haksever & Render, 2013). In India, the trade union movement is controlled mostly by political ideas and is thus weak. Participation can only be successful if the labour force can work in jobs that are similar to one another. It is necessary to have one representative trade union in order to participate successfully. Trade unions in India are hampered by their diversity, as well as by competition between and within unions. Representatives of the workforce in management are expected to fulfil the twin responsibilities of workers' spokesperson and co-manager (Summers, 2019). Only a small number of representatives are sufficiently qualified to perform the two conflicting tasks. Because they are affiliated with a variety of political parties, trade union officials have a propensity to prioritize political objectives above the interests of workers. A strong foothold has been established in the Indian industrial relations system by groupies. The government is the one that initiates and sponsors worker involvement programs. There is, however, a distinct lack of enthusiasm and effort on the side of both the trade unions and the business community. Labour laws effectively regulate all aspects of employment in India, including the terms and conditions of employment in the workplace. Working people do not have a strong desire to engage in management because they have an innate belief that they were created to serve rather than to dominate (Bondy et al., 2007).

The emphasis has always been on involvement at the highest levels of the organization; lower levels of the organization do not have significant access to engage in decision-making processes. Existing half-hearted efforts to increase productivity by strengthening labour-management relations are more concerned with training employees for successful involvement in management than they are with boosting productivity. Participatory management is hampered by the employer's unwillingness to delegate authority to workers' representatives, employees' indifference in their own affairs, and the government's shallow attitude toward involvement in management. A purely advisory system in which employees get information and voice opinions but have no control over whether or not their opinions are taken into account is unlikely to generate much excitement, if any, or even interest among employees. Only production, safety, and welfare issues are brought up for debate, while employees are interested in a far wider range of topics, ranging from finance to marketing to personnel policy, among other things. The union does not provide its full support to the committees because they believe that the interests of the union will be jeopardized as a result. The current antiquated method of forced adjudication will not provide much in the way of results. Consequently, institutions have had the greatest detrimental effect on India's work force in this regard. In the current discussion over worker involvement, trade unions express just lip support for its real acceptance, despite its widespread acceptance in the past. So, for the time being, participation is still mostly a theoretical concept. Works committees are being used to stifle the formation of unions under paternalistic managements, despite the fact that this practice plainly falls into the category of unfair labour practices on the side of employers, as defined by the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. In the face of hostile and authoritarian management that encourages cooperation with the bureaucracy and even the political executive, it is common for puppet and corrupt trade union leaders to compromise workers' interests in exchange for personal or political advantages to emerge.

Workers Effective Participation in Management

Employers should have a forward-looking attitude. They should approach the sector as a collaborative effort in which all employees have an equal voice. The goals of the industry should be agreed upon by both employers and employees. It is necessary to give workers and their representatives with education and training in the concept and method of participatory management. Participatory management should be promoted among employees so that they

are aware of its advantages. When making choices that have an influence on employees, there should be good communication between them and management. They should be aware of and respect each other's legal and moral rights. Participation should be a continual process that begins at the operational level of management and works its way up. Employees and management may benefit from a combined educational program that can alter their attitudes toward one another and build trust between them. In the Indian environment, it is critical to have a thorough understanding of labour regulations in order to educate employees in this regard. "A worker is a worker; a manager is a manager; they must never meet" should be substituted with the phrase "managers and employees are partners in the advancement of the company" in today's business world. In a nutshell, a shift in perspective is required in order for WPM to be successful.

Potential Obstacles

It will be necessary to have a broader view in the future years in order to succeed with workers involvement, since it is pointless to seek democracy of the workplace otherwise. Posterity will be required to respond to the questions listed below. In light of its ineffectiveness, a lack of interest on the side of trade unionists, and the abuse of the forum works committee by certain unscrupulous employers as a tool to delay the formation of unions, the forum works committee has to be re-examined. Workers participation legislation is unavoidable, and it is in keeping with the spirit of a democratic and participatory way of life established in the Constitution. No second thoughts are required on this matter. It is possible to reduce the nuisance value of authoritarian and paternalistic managements by organizing a robust trade union movement. In order to do this, broader issues of dehumidification of the industrial relations system must be addressed on a priority basis in order to create an atmosphere that is environmentally friendly and to fully understand the importance of participation. The participation mechanism for improving output and productivity has received a great deal of attention in the Five-Year Plans. Because productivity-linked participation has shown to be a hollow rhetorical aim, the workings of the future labour policy will have to conform to this goal in order to succeed. Without rigorous adherence to the contradiction between negotiation and participation, the future of workers' engagement in India is looking grim. Because of the financial crisis, Indian labour unions are unable to successfully organize workers education on their own. Consider the possibility of levying a cess on the value of industrial output in order to fund a state-created autonomous agency responsible for administering employees' education on a priority basis, as previously stated. It is the responsibility of rulers to set an example by using and promoting the use of elections for the selection of representatives to different forums, which will be followed by workers. Appropriate adjustments must be made to corporate laws in order to guarantee that management is held accountable in the implementation of legislative purpose, which has not been the case so far.

Conclusion

Employee involvement is, without a doubt, a very productive tool for any company. However, just putting it into action does not ensure its success. It often provides the management with a false feeling of security, which is dangerous. Trade unions and employers, on the other hand, are expressing a range of responses as a result of the broader issues of interest relations and employer prerogatives that are being raised. In reality, this has been one of the primary causes for the sluggish development of the industry in India, among other factors. A full-fledged involvement of labour in management is not a feasible option under such circumstances, according to the authors. Although the participatory programs established by the Indian government have not achieved the intended degree of success, various groups involved in organizational functioning do not seem to have lost confidence in the ability of participative

management to achieve organizational objectives as a general conclusion from the research. Although the government may take the lead, the key element in determining success is how various companies' managements adapt to this style of operating and create a successful plan that is best suited to their own businesses. Employee involvement should be based on a philosophy of participation, which will reduce misunderstanding regarding employee participation both inside and outside of the company. In the workplace, WPM crystallizes the idea of industrial democracy and denotes a conscious effort on the part of an employer to organize workers into groups that work together to achieve a shared objective. It is hoped that the main results and recommendations would assist in improving the current level of WPM, which will result in better relationships, better performance, higher productivity, a healthier industrial environment and, most importantly, enhanced human resource practices.

References

- Avey, J. B., Luthans, F., Smith, R. M., & Palmer, N. F. (2010). Impact of positive psychological capital on employee well-being over time. *Journal of occupational health psychology, 15*(1), 17.
- Bean, R. (2021). *Comparative industrial relations: An introduction to cross-national perspectives*. Routledge.
- Bondy, E., Ross, D. D., Gallingane, C., & Hambacher, E. (2007). Creating environments of success and resilience: Culturally responsive classroom management and more. *Urban education, 42*(4), 326-348.
- Constantin, E. C., & Baias, C. C. (2015). Employee voice• key factor in internal communication. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 191*, 975-978.
- Doloi, H., Sawhney, A., Iyer, K. C., & Rentala, S. (2012). Analysing factors affecting delays in Indian construction projects. *International journal of project management, 30*(4), 479-489.
- Duah Agyeman, R. (2012). *An investigation into the effect of employee involvement practice on decision making process: a case study of Kumasi Anglican senior High School* (Doctoral dissertation).
- Fischer, E., & Qaim, M. (2014). Smallholder farmers and collective action: what determines the intensity of participation?. *Journal of Agricultural Economics, 65*(3), 683-702.
- Giudici, M., & Filimonau, V. (2019). Exploring the linkages between managerial leadership, communication and teamwork in successful event delivery. *Tourism Management Perspectives, 32*, 100558.
- Haksever, C., & Render, B. (2013). *Service management: An integrated approach to supply chain management and operations*. FT Press.
- Magolda, M. B. B. (2004). *Making their own way: Narratives for transforming higher education to promote self-development*. Stylus Publishing, LLC.
- Park, R., Blasi, J. R., & Kruse, D. L. (2010). *1. Shared Capitalism in the US Economy: Prevalence, Characteristics, and Employee Views of Financial Participation in Enterprises* (pp. 41-76). University of Chicago Press.
- Poole, M. (2017). *Towards a new industrial democracy: Workers' participation in industry* (Vol. 8). Routledge.

- Powell, L., Wang, P., O'Neill, L., Dentice, G., & Neill, L. (2019). Webs of significance: Articulating latent value structures in a rural cafe organized as a worker cooperative. *Hospitality & Society*, 9(2), 183-213.
- Richards, K. (2006). *Language and professional identity: Aspects of collaborative interaction*. Springer.
- Summers, C. (2019). An American perspective of the German model of worker participation. In *Current Issues in Labour Relations* (pp. 115-128). De Gruyter.
- Zhu, Y., Xie, Y., Warner, M., & Guo, Y. (2015). Employee participation and the influence on job satisfaction of the 'new generation' of Chinese employees. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 26(19), 2395-2411.