Comprehensive Study of Human Behaviour in Relation to Social Context

Bianca Laiu

[1]Faculty of Sociology and Social Work, Babeş-Bolyai University, Romania

Abstract

In this article, the concept of groups is dissected in further detail. The notion of groups is quite broad and may be applied not just to individuals but also to a variety of other living things outside humans. People are more likely to establish groups when they have comparable experiences or ways of thinking about how to satisfy their requirements. The purpose of this article is to acquaint the reader with the idea of groups and to explain how one can form a general description of groups by first comprehending the formation process, the formation of groups, the characteristics and types of groups, and other topics related to acquainting oneself with and understanding formal groups as well as the behavior of individuals within those groups. The reader will become familiar with the idea of groups and will learn how one can form a general description of groups.

Introduction

Attempting to cut someone off from the culture in which they were raised may be a difficult and time-consuming undertaking. As human beings, everyone of us is a member, whether consciously or unconsciously, of a diverse collection of communities. When we were originally brought to this world, the group to which we belonged most immediately was our families. To put it another way, not a single one of us got here on Earth by our lonesome. Because of the singular paths our lives have taken, we are instantly qualified for participation in a diverse variety of organizations. When we are born in a town or city, we are immediately incorporated into the culture of that location.

Participation in social activities, such as joining peer groups, organizations, and other types of groupings, is a characteristic of the next stage of development. This is because the next stage of growth requires more independence. When we are young, our social circle frequently comprises of our close family members. When we reach the age when we are able to enroll in a school, we do not only become members of a formal organization that is known as the school, but we also begin socializing with friends who are also students at the school, in addition to the professors and staff members who work there. While we are still students, we are involved in a number of extracurricular activities outside of the classroom. These activities include being members of the Intra School Student Organization, the Youth Red Cross, and Scouts. After we finish our formal education, it is inevitable that we will join a wide variety of groups, clubs, and associations in fields as diverse as business (by taking a job), politics (by joining a political party), religion (by joining a recitation group or prayer group), the arts (by participating in a community theater), and so on and so forth. This is just something that happens. In the not too distant future, we want to become members of a wide variety of new organizations (for example, being a member of a music school).

In addition to the many categories that are already in place, the various groups that make up our society will be categorized according to a wide range of characteristics, such as, but not limited to, gender, blood type, age range, and so on. This will be done in conjunction with the many categories that are already in place. These additional groupings will be added to the already extensive number of different categories that are now in use. Even if we are completely oblivious to the fact that we are members of a variety of separate groups from the moment we are born until the moment that we pass away, the reality remains that we are members of a number of different organizations. It should come as no surprise that experts in the social sciences, such as sociologists, psychologists, anthropologists, and others, have traditionally devoted a great deal of attention to the topic of human grouping. This is because human grouping is a phenomenon that has been researched for quite some time. Grouping is a phenomenon that occurs among people (Bilger et al., 2006). Regardless of whether or not your professor required you to do this reading, the background information that is presented at the beginning is the same for all scenarios. A person's connection to a group, how that group influences and helps develop one's self-concept, and how a person must modify their attitudes and behavior in accordance with ideals are all interesting topics for us to debate, particularly because we are the ones who will be compiling the paper and debating it in a comprehensive manner. This is material that we will find particularly useful. In the following piece, we want to discuss this topic in further detail at a later point. During the course of this study, a more in-depth analysis of this issue is going to be conducted. details about the operation of this organization.

Group Overview

The concept of a group is rather comprehensive, and it may be extended not only to people but also to animals and other living creatures that are not humans. For instance, we are acquainted with the terms herbivore and carnivore, both of which relate to groups of animals that consume either plants or meat, respectively. On the other hand, the focus groups that we investigated for the purpose of this paper are the social circumstances in which various animal species interact with humans.

A social group is a collection of persons who have relationships to one another, interact with one another, and whose interactions together have the potential to result in the formation of emotions collectively. This definition comes from the sociological discipline of sociology.

According to the opinions of Josep S. Roucek and Roland S. Warren, a social group is a group that consists of two or more individuals and among whom there are patterns of interaction that can be comprehended by the members of the group or by other people in general. A social group may also be referred to as a social network. A number of persons who are intellectually aware of the presence of others, who establish connections (interact) with one another, and who conceive of themselves as members of a group are considered to be members of a group.

The Process of Forming Groups

People are more likely to establish groups when they have comparable experiences or ways of thinking about how to satisfy their requirements. Following that, there will be an incentive to finish it, which will result in the creation of the same aim, and finally, the interactions that take place will culminate in the development of a group. The fundamental need that individuals have to continually satisfy their need to be in the company of other people is the impetus behind the formation of social organizations. Because it enables people to interact with one another and makes the sharing of psychological affects easier, communication is vital to the building of human societies. There are two fundamental aims that motivate a person to live in groups:

18

the desire to unite with other people around him and the impulse to blend with the natural state that is around him. Living in groups allows a person to accomplish both of these goals. The wants that people have force them to live in communities rather than alone.

In the year 1965, Bruce Tackman was the first person to propose the use of a model in order to describe how the development of groups occurs. As a result of the fact that this idea, once thought of, has resulted in the development of a large number of distinct hypotheses, it is abundantly evident that it is an acceptable explanation for the process by which groups are formed. The following are the stages that are involved in the development of a group, according to the model that was established by Bruce Tackman: (1) The first stage is termed "Forming," and it happens immediately after the group has been placed together and given a job. The members of the group have an inclination to function on their own, and despite the fact that they are behaving honestly, they are not acquainted with one another and do not trust one another. This is despite the fact that they are functioning independently. (2) During the second stage, which is referred to as "storming," groups begin to investigate potential solutions to the problems they are currently facing. They discuss the several facets of the issue that they are entrusted with resolving in this conversation. The members of the group engage in more candid conversation with one another and talk about the distinctive ideas and perspectives that they each have. In some situations, the phase of turbulent activity is often followed quickly by the stage of settling in. Nonetheless, there are certain individuals who are unable to go beyond this stage; (3) When the group has reached the Norming stage, all of its members have arrived at a point of agreement and consensus. Regarding either roles or responsibilities, there is no room for confusion. They begin to develop a sense of trust for one another as members of the group become aware of the contributions made by each other member of the group; (4) A group has reached the Performing stage when it is able to perform work in a smooth and efficient manner without the need for needless confrontations or external monitoring. (5) Adjourning and Transforming is the last phase, which signals the conclusion of the project as well as the dissolution of the organization. The members of the group depend on one another, and they respect one another in their communication. After the group has progressed to a new point, members are allowed to regress to any earlier level if they so want.

Group Characteristics

In general, two or more humans may be called a social group if they regularly interact with one another, have common goals and values, and work together to achieve those goals. Everyone in the organization identifies with the label "member." share a same motivation and set of interests with someone else despite their own individuality. You may talk to and interact with the other people in the group. When people engage in conversation, a number of possible consequences emerge. (how much of an effect is generated depends on the mindset and skills of those involved). There is a clear division of labor, and the group's structure or organization is built from the members' various roles and responsibilities. The group has a system in place to ensure that everyone is behaving in accordance with the organization's code of conduct, which dictates how people should interact with one another throughout efforts to further the group's goals.

A social group must have the following criteria in order to be classified as an association of humans: Everyone in the group should be conscious of the fact that they are included in it. It's a relationship based on mutual aid and duty, with each member looking out for the interests of the others. They have something in common, which strengthens their bond to one another. Things in common include having experienced the same event, enjoying similar activities,

19

working toward similar goals, holding similar values, and so on. Develop a plan of action, a set of rules, and a routine. systematic and handled with care.

Classification and Types of Groups

According to Robert Bierstedt, there are many different types of groups that may be distinguished from one another based on features such as the degree of organization, the intensity of social ties between members, and the presence or absence of type awareness. After that, Bierstedt divides the group into four different subtypes, the statistical subtype being a group that is not an organization, does not have any social relationships, and does not have any members that are aware of the kind. To demonstrate two polar opposites, take a look at the age groups of people who are under 5 years old and over 75 years old, respectively. A gathering of persons who recognize one other's commonalities but do not have a formal structure or social relationships is what we refer to as a social group. The social structure of this sort of group is still in its formative stages at this point. For instance, there were clear norms pertaining to gender roles in each individual hamlet. A collection of people who are aware of one another and interact with one another but who are not formally bound together forms what is known as a social group. a get-together with a bunch of people you know and love, for example. An associational group is a group in which the members have type awareness and have personal and common interests that are connected to one another. The members of this group experience a great sense of kinship with one another as a result of the personal and professional interests that they have in common. Members of an organization have social connections with one another, take part in communication with one another, and stay in contact with one another in addition to having official organizational affiliations. There are many different kinds of organizations, such as the state, universities, cooperatives, political parties, and others.

According to Ferdinand Tonnies, the separation of people is the most important factor to consider when identifying cultures. This is shown by the Paguyuban, also known as the gemeinschaft, which is a social group whose members have mental relationships that are unadulterated, natural, and long-lasting. There are many different kinds of paguyuban, but one of them is a relationship that is founded on blood relationships (gemeinschaft via blood). Individuals who can trace their lineage back to the same progenitor form the basis of genealogical groupings. There are Paguyuban family trees included in that group. Members of genealogy clubs often have a strong sense of camaraderie with one another as a result of their shared belief in the legitimacy of their family trees. A family or other organization based on kinship is one example of this kind of group. The word "Paguyuban as a consequence of location" (gemeinschaft of place), which literally translates to "a social group created due to a shared physical location," is a phrase that is used to characterize Paguyuban. Paguyuban as a consequence of ideology, also known as "gemeinschaft of mind," which is what distinguishes a community that is founded on the common dedication of its members to the same ideas and beliefs. This is seen by political parties that are motivated religiously. For an extended period of time, members of a Gesellschaft have just the barest of beginnings when it comes to their physical connections with one another.

According to George Simmel, the dynamics of the group as well as the interpersonal communication dynamics that take place inside the group will change depending on the total number of people who are involved. There are many different kinds of groups, one of which is structured according to the total number of people who belong to it. The idea of a "monad," or an isolated person who does not have any close friends or family, was where Simmel's research endeavors got their start. After that, the monads separate into smaller groups of two and three, respectively, which are termed dyads and triads, and so on. As a direct result of this, the

interaction patterns that occur inside the group will shift according on the number of individuals who are there. The degree to which people engage with one another inside the group may be used as a measurement tool for determining the degree to which individuals interact with one another within various social groupings. Face-to-face groups may be found inside social organizations like families, neighborhood associations, and community centers for communities. Face-to-face groups are a kind of interpersonal communication (face-to-face groupings). This is in contrast to social groups that have a weaker sense of cohesiveness, such as those that may be found in cities, businesses, or even across countries. A social organization that is centered on a geographical region and does not focus on any particular interests is called a local community. It's possible that individuals of the local community feel similarly. On the other hand, an association is a kind of social organization that is created in order to achieve comparable goals. Existence of common interests, sometimes referred to as continuity of interests, is one of the variables that contributes to the creation of a social group. Another component is the continuity of interests across time. Because the interests of its members tend to shift often, a mob is an example of a group that doesn't last very long. On the other hand, an organization with self-interest, such as an association, looks out for its own best interests first and foremost. The government, businesses, and other organizations fall under the category of well-organized social groups. Although crowds are one example of a social group that is not particularly well-organized, this is not the only kind of social group that fits this description.

There is a wide variety of approaches to classifying different kinds of groupings. We each have a sense of belonging to the communities in which we were raised, including our families, towns, races, schools, and nations. The plural form of "my" is used in each of these clusterings. Due to the fact that I am a part of this community, it is appropriately referred to as the "In" group. I do not identify with a number of different communities, such as families, racial and ethnic groupings, occupational fields, religious denominations, or play groups. According to what I saw when I was on the outside looking in, all of that makes up an out group. Even though the goals of different in-groups and out-groups in a society aren't necessarily the same, there are still in-groups and out-groups in every civilization. In nations with a lower standard of living, an individual's immediate and extended social circles are often determined by the ties that exist inside their family. When two individuals who are not familiar with one another meet for the first time, the first thing that occurs in their minds is to inquire about how the other person knows them. The fact that these two people originate from the same family tree increases the likelihood that they will become good friends. However, if they are unable to identify any commonalities between the families, they consider the families to be rivals. Everyone in today's modern society is a member of several groups, some of which may be similar to one another. Although upperclassmen have a tendency to treat freshmen as if they do not belong in their social circle, the gym is one place where everyone comes together to root on the school team.

Primary Group and Secondary Group

According to Charles Horton Cooley, the members of a main group have a history of past engagement with one another and engage in intimate personal cooperation, setting it apart from other sorts of groups. One result of this close contact is the formation of groups, when the goals of the individuals inside them get intertwined with those of the whole. Therefore, relationships inside the core group are casual (informal), intimate and personal, and include a comprehensive spectrum of one's life experiences. Core groups, such as a family, a clan, or a group of friends, often have more relaxed social interactions. Members of the group feel an emotional

connection to one another. Together, they are able to express their hopes and fears, learn from one other's experiences, have some lighthearted fun, and satiate their need for closeness.

Conversely, secondary groups are large groups composed of many people where the bond between them is not predicated on personal knowledge. As an added downside, these associations often don't last for long. The second group's motivation comes from material gain, therefore their relationships to one another are neither informal or close but rather formal, impersonal, and segmental (separate) (utilitarian). People seldom show themselves in social situations as individuals, but rather as characters playing out a script. Their efficiency in doing their job is more important than how they come across personally.

Formal Group and Informal Group

A group is termed formal if it was established with the specific intention of dictating certain behaviors that are expected of its members, and if such behaviors are expected to be followed to the letter. Formal organization of a group necessitates the availability of strategies for mobilizing and coordinating actions to achieve objectives depending on certain components of the organization. This is a necessary condition that must be met before the formation of a formal group structure. The administrative structure of most businesses is the organization's most important asset (Cramer, 2008). Take for instance a school; it is comprised of a number of components, such as its teachers and administrators, its students and their families, and the community in which it is located.

A bureaucracy is the name given to this kind of administrative organisation. According to Weber (2017), bureaucratic organizations are distinguishable from other types of organizations due to the fact that they allocate the duties of various positions within the company to a variety of different activities. There is a distinct line of command that runs across the whole of the organization. The existence of rules has an effect, both on the process of decision-making and the carrying out of actions, respectively. The staff person in question is an official who is tasked with ensuring that things continue to function efficiently inside the organization.

It is reasonable to anticipate that officials will treat their superiors, subordinates, and any other parties involved with a certain degree of impersonality. Candidates' previous job experience is evaluated thoroughly throughout the whole of the selection process. On the other hand, a group is said to be informal if its members are not obliged to adhere to any specific rules or regulations that have been put forth by the leaders of the organization. A series of chance meetings is often the starting point for these relationships. The shared experiences and passions of those who attend these get-togethers serve as the event's unifying factor. A tiny, unofficial group that commonly forms inside bigger ones is known as a click. Clicks are also sometimes referred to as cliques. When members of the group suddenly make plans to spend quality time together, this might be an indication that there is a connection (Gray & Potter, 2020).

Occupational and Volunteer Groups

Initially, societies were able to multitask well. What this shows is that there is no clear division of labor in the community. However, a new method of task division arose as civilization developed, superseding the older one. The increasing presence of people of different backgrounds in the local community is one effect of this trend. Over the course of this culture's history, a system of division of labor has developed based on the idea that different jobs need different skill sets. In this community, everyone pitches in based on their own set of abilities. Once dominant, family networks have given way to communities based on shared occupations. There is a possibility that this kind of group significantly affects the character formation of its members.

Communication advances have made it such that hardly any culture is cut off from the rest of the world, and this has led to a wider variety of activities taking place inside each culture. As more individuals have been reached, so has the makeup of society changed to reflect the diversity of its members. In conclusion, there is no way to satisfy everyone's desires in a given community. The inability of the community as a whole to meet its demands is what ultimately led to the formation of volunteer groups. Each member has a common interest with the others, yet the group as a whole is relatively unknown outside of its immediate circle. Therefore, voluntary organizations may cater to the needs of its members on a micro level without compromising the macro level needs of the society (Parsons, 2009).

Disorganized Social Groups

Simply said, a crowd is any large group of people who happen to be in the same place at the same time. A subgroup of an atypical social group forms. The most telling characteristic of a large crowd is the presence of identifiable people. The throng is so large that its noise can be heard in every direction for as far as the ear can go. Once people started dispersing, the event quickly wound down. The mob therefore serves as an illustration of a short-lived social group. As a result, the casual audience is fragmented into several, inconvenient clusters. There's the queue for the tickets, and then there's the line for the train. One kind of panic mob is a group of people that gather together to defend themselves against an unknown danger. The collective instinct of the crowd has a nasty habit of making things scarier than they already are. We may look at recent earthquakes and fires as examples. Spectator crowds, often known as "just crowds," are formed when a large number of people gather to watch a certain event or activity. Example: the urge to visit accident victims. A "lawless crowd" is a group of people that don't follow the rules, and under this category you may find "emotional crowds" and "acting mobs." The latter describes a group of people who deviate from current social standards by resorting to the use of physical force to accomplish their goals (Johnson, 2016). Conflicts between protesters and police are one such example. Lackadaisical mobs, or ones who look and act just like the expressive kind. The key difference is that it deviates from commonly accepted norms in society. Consider intoxicated people as an example.

Strengthening and Inhibiting Factors of Group Integration

As time goes on, a group's dynamic will definitely shift. Because of their fluid character, groups may be helpful and supportive at times, and divisive and hostile at others. Some of the things that help keep a social group together include positive interactions among its members, strong emotional links among them, shared aims or interests, respected leadership, and widely accepted standards. Goals or interests that are obeyed in order to accomplish objectives, goals that are obeyed in order to achieve goals, and goals that are obeyed in order to achieve goals all contribute to the stability of a social group. Conflicts between members, poor coordination from group leaders, uneven interests, power struggles among members, and divergent perspectives on how to achieve collective objectives are only some of the sources of social instability.

Formal Group

In formal groups, members have voluntarily established norms for how they should behave and interact with one another. A group's organization (chairman, secretary, treasurer, and members), responsibilities, and interactions with one another are all governed by these regulations. Cooperatives, academic institutions, and other formal groupings are examples of informal groups. There is usually no clear hierarchy within informal groupings. This group has its origins in a variety of past get-togethers, including study groups, playgroups, and the like.

One's conduct in a formal situation is supposed to be scripted and focused on furthering the goals of the group or organization. This action must also adhere to accepted norms of decency. Conversely, informal coalitions are loosely organized groups without a clear focus or mission. People naturally form these kinds of clusters in their everyday lives, driven by a need to connect with others (Ruskin, 2011). Groups inside formal organizations may be further broken down into command groups and task groups, while groups within informal organizations evolve into interest groups and friendship groups based on the information presented above (Weegmann, 2009).

Group Benefits

Participating in group activities, such as sports or clubs, may help individuals feel less alone because of the many benefits they provide, such as a higher sense of security and more chances to socialize. When individuals feel like they belong to a community, they gain confidence, question themselves less, and are better able to deal with adversity. Status and acceptance into a group are seen as crucial because of the benefits that come with being a part of that group. The respect of one's peers might have an effect on one's own sense of worth. Members of the organization benefit not just from the prestige that comes with their participation, but also from the inherent worth that comes from being a part of the group as a whole. Some people's needs in social relationships might be met by feeling like they belong in a community. People like the regular contact that comes with membership in groups and societies. To many people, the relationships they build while "on the job" are the most important source of belonging (affiliation). What one person can't do, a group of people may be able to do, and the same is true of acquiring and exercising power. Because of the population's sheer size, they were able to impose their will on the public. There are times when the work must be done by more than one person in order to reach a goal. Possessing a large amount of skills, knowledge, or strength is essential for accomplishing any goal. Considering these cases, it's clear that having a formal group present will lead to a better structured strategy for reaching goals (Bryson, 2018).

Basic Group Concepts

The goal of this investigation into the theoretical underpinnings of groups is to convince the reader that organizations are more than the simple sum of their parts. The norms of the organization have an effect on the behavior of its members. In the United Kingdom, Marks & Spencer is a household name, and Laura Cambell works there as a buyer for the company. She is in charge of selecting and buying goods for the business. His work requires him to assume a number of personas, each with its own set of conventions for how to behave in respect to one's social standing. For instance, Laura pretended to be an employee of Marks & Spencer while functioning as a member of the buyer's group at headquarters, a member of a working group for cost efficiency, and an advisor on the diversity committee.

Laura Campbell, in addition to her professional soccer duties, was a wife, mother, Methodist, trade unionist, school board member, choir member at Sts. Andrew's Chapel, and member of the Surrey Women's Soccer League. Although many of these goals are compatible with one another, Laura's husband and daughter urged her to stay in London while others urged her to go to Manchester (Unit et al., 015). With minimal influence from outside the group, these norms have a major effect on the behavior of its members. It's not unusual to see cases when workers with high levels of self-efficacy and drive nonetheless achieve subpar results because of the pervasive effect of group norms that don't inspire them to do their best. A worker with high levels of self-efficacy and drive yet poor performance is not unprecedented. The most crucial part of grasping the concept of norms is remembering that the group applies pressure on its members to shape their behavior in a manner that is compatible with the group's

24

expectations. In the case that someone in the group violates these norms, the rest of the members will work to make amends and may even punish the offenders. This is only one possible conclusion drawn from the findings of the Hawthorne probes (Phills et al., 2008).

Composition

The vast majority of group activities need some kind of information and skill sharing between the members. Given these factors, it's reasonable to conclude that teams comprised of a wide range of individuals are more likely to benefit from a broader breadth of expertise and experience than those made up of a uniform core. The vast majority of studies' conclusions suggest this. But the research shows that these characteristics of diversity create disturbances to the group's operations, at least in the short term. The ability to perceive issues from other perspectives may be aided by exposure to a wide range of cultural experiences. However, culturally varied groups have more challenges when it comes to learning to work together and solving difficulties. Fortunately, these differences may grow less visible with time (Weber, 2017).

Having a taste of one's own strength and grandeur now and then is a source of great pleasure for everyone (Brewer, 2013). In a world where one's whims may be given the upper hand, pleasure comes before everything else. Because of the ease with which depravity and errors that are not agreeable to others in general may be made when the power of the people is exercised, when the authority can set people free to make deviations, the power of the people corrupts easily. With the ability to influence others to the point that they have complete autonomy inside a certain group, whether it a social, political, or economic corporate entity. Assuming they have the group's best interests at heart, they are delegated this power to take action.

Those in authoritative roles need to be held accountable in a clear and public way. Whether in line with the concept of utility or the principle of public interest, this is important so that the responsibility of power holders may be legitimized by the general public and other organizations already in existence (public benefit). It means that those in control are liable for their acts not just monetarily but also morally and ethically.

Conclusion

Therefore, it is possible to draw the conclusion that a group consists of two or more people who engage in conversation with one another, are dependent on one another, and work toward the accomplishment of shared objectives. Both formal and informal settings are viable options for gatherings of people. Formal groups are characterized by their adherence to a predetermined set of rules and by the members' intentional decision to form the group in order to better manage their interactions and progress toward a common objective. Informal groups, on the other hand, do not have a defined structure and come into existence as a result of members repeatedly getting together to discuss the same topics of interest. When participating in formal settings, a person is expected to exhibit behavior that is both predetermined and geared toward the accomplishment of organizational objectives. This behavior also has to be in line with legitimized standards of conduct. On the other hand, informal groupings are coalitions that are neither organized or organizationally defined in any way, which allows for interactions to grow organically in response to a desire for social contact.

References

Bilger, V., Hofmann, M., & Jandl, M. (2006). Human smuggling as a transnational service industry: Evidence from Austria. *International Migration*, *44*(4), 59-93.

Brewer, J. (2013). *The pleasures of the imagination: English culture in the eighteenth century*. Routledge.),

Bryson, J. M. (2018). *Strategic planning for public and nonprofit organizations: A guide to strengthening and sustaining organizational achievement*. John Wiley & Sons.

Cramer, S. C. (2008). Repairing the human brain after stroke: I. Mechanisms of spontaneous recovery. *Annals of neurology*, *63*(3), 272-287.

Gray, J., & Potter, P. (2020). Diplomacy and the settlement of international trade disputes. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, *64*(7-8), 1358-1389.

Johnson, B. G. (2016). The Heckler's Veto: Using First Amendment Theory and Jurisprudence to Understand Current Audience Reactions Against Controversial Speech. *Communication Law and Policy*, *21*(2), 175-220.

Parsons, M. (2009). Becoming and being an analyst in the British Psychoanalytical Society. *Psychoanalytic Inquiry*, *29*(3), 236-246.

Phills, J. A., Deiglmeier, K., & Miller, D. T. (2008). Rediscovering social innovation. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*, *6*(4), 34-43.

Ruskin, R. (2011). Sexual boundary violations in a senior training analyst: Impact on the individual and psychoanalytic society. *Canadian Journal of Psychoanalysis*, *19*(1), 87-106.

Unit, B., Star, E., & SmartWay, E. P. A. (2015). Corporate social responsibility.

Weber, M. (2017). *Methodology of social sciences*. Routledge.

Weegmann, M. (2021). Interview with Liesel Hearst, group analyst. *Group Analysis*, 05333164211001500.