

---

# Pedagogical Strategies for Fostering Digital Literacy and Online Research Skills in Higher Education

Moiruësi Doiraghusoha<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universiteti i Prishtinës, Albania

## Abstract

For higher education students to successfully traverse the modern digital landscape and the world's growing interconnectedness, they must be proficient in digital literacy and online research techniques. The current state of these talents among college students is investigated quantitatively in this study. An examination into undergraduate and graduate students' perceived skills, demographic differences, and the congruence between self-assessment and real competences was conducted with the use of an online survey that included a broad cohort of students from different academic fields. The results highlight a disconnect between students' confidence in their ability to conduct internet research and their actual skills. The need for focused therapies that improve self-awareness and metacognition is highlighted by this incongruity. The survey finds fascinating demographic trends that show that there aren't many differences in digital literacy skills between men and women. On the other hand, differences in skill levels between academic majors imply that settings unique to a certain subject have an impact on digital aptitude. Both continuities and variations are shown by comparing these findings to earlier studies. Contrary to previous trends, there aren't any obvious gender-based discrepancies, which suggests that these divisions may become less evident in the area of digital literacy. Additionally, the gap in abilities between academic majors confirms previous research, emphasizing the importance of disciplinary specifics in defining digital competences. The findings of this study have significant ramifications for the higher education system. In order to effectively teach these abilities, institutions are encouraged to seamlessly integrate digital literacy education into their curricula by utilizing cutting-edge pedagogies and technology.

**Keywords:** Digital Literacy, Online Research, Higher Education

Received: September 10, 2022

Revised: November 17, 2022

Accepted: December 4, 2022

---

## Introduction

The development of digital literacy and online research abilities has become crucial for people to successfully navigate and succeed in both the academic and professional realms in today's quickly expanding digital environment. The way knowledge is accessed, shared, and generated has undergone a radical transformation as a result of the pervasiveness of information and the growth of digital platforms. In order to properly prepare students for the complexity of the modern world, higher education institutions must provide their students with the skills needed to engage with digital information critically, assess its veracity, and make efficient use of it. Although the importance of digital literacy and online research abilities cannot be overstated, it is still difficult to guarantee that students are sufficiently equipped for the demands of the digital age.

A major obstacle to establishing equitable digital literacy among students in higher education is the digital gap, which is defined by unequal access to technology and the internet (Lembani et al., 2020). Socioeconomic differences limit students' access to the essential tools, programs, and stable internet connections, which prevents them from properly utilizing digital resources.

Additionally, even when connectivity is accessible, students still need to be able to maneuver around the complicated and large online environment. According to studies (Machete & Turpin, 2020; Pilgrim, 2019), many students are unable to successfully utilize search engines, assess the reliability of online sources, and steer clear of plagiarism. This shortcoming not only affects their academic achievement but also limits their ability to make significant contributions to conversations, take part in informed civic engagement, and be successful in their future careers.

The complexity of digital literacy makes it more difficult to include into courses for higher education. Beyond technological knowledge, digital literacy includes critical thinking, information assessment, ethical awareness, and digital communication abilities (Bravo et al., 2021). Teachers must not only teach these skills but also deal with the rapidly evolving nature of digital technology. Digital literacy as it exists now could change in the future, demanding a flexible and responsive educational approach.

It takes a lot of effort to develop internet research abilities. In order to make the switch from conventional library research to digital information searching, it's important to have a thorough grasp of search tactics, how to evaluate the dependability of sources, and how to use citations correctly. Studies show that students frequently find it difficult to create efficient search queries and assess the caliber of the material they come across (McGrew, 2020; Centeio et al., 2021). Due to their lack of skill, they may include false or prejudiced material in their work, which will damage the validity of their academic output.

To address these issues, a comprehensive strategy combining pedagogical innovation, technology integration, and a full comprehension of digital literacy is required. Higher education institutions need to understand that digital literacy is essential for lifelong learning, not only as a stand-alone talent. In order to ensure that students receive consistent and scaffolded training in all facets of digital literacy, it must be integrated across disciplines and ingrained in curriculum. To provide successful interventions, faculty, librarians, and educational technologists must work together (Tseng et al., 2022). Additionally, encouraging a growth attitude might enable pupils to take on difficulties and work continuously on improving themselves (Hashimy, 2023).

The introduction of cutting-edge educational technology and pedagogical methods presents opportunities to improve online research and digital literacy. For instance, gamification makes use of game-like components to include students in educational activities and encourage motivation and active engagement (Ekici, 2021). Online platforms and digital repositories offer chances for group study, exposing students to other viewpoints and boosting their capacity for information synthesis. Additionally, immersive technologies like virtual reality may replicate real-world research circumstances, giving students a safe environment in which to hone their abilities.

In conclusion, a paradigm shift in higher education is required in the digital age to guarantee that students have the digital literacy and online research abilities they need to succeed. The issues brought on by the digital divide, developing technology, and the complexity of digital literacy must be addressed as we go. Higher education institutions may enable their students to be discriminating consumers and creators of digital information by combining effective pedagogical practices, harnessing educational tools, and establishing a culture of continuous learning. Ultimately aiming to close the gap between the digital haves and have-nots and preparing students for a digitally interconnected world, this research aims to explore and contribute to the ongoing discourse on best practices in fostering digital literacy and online research skills within the higher education context.

## Methods

The objective of this study's quantitative research design was to ascertain the level of online research proficiency and digital literacy among college students nowadays. The main elements of the methodology are described in this section, including the study strategy, participants, data gathering methodologies, and data analysis methods.

**Research technique and Design:** To collect information from a varied sample of higher education students, a cross-sectional survey technique was used. This method made it possible to take a momentary picture of the participants' degrees of digital literacy and their abilities for online research.

**Participants:** Students from numerous fields and many different higher education institutions who were undergraduate and graduate students participated in this study. In order to guarantee representation from various academic backgrounds and levels of study, a purposeful sampling approach was used.

**Data collection:** To gather information from the participants, an online survey tool was created. To evaluate several facets of digital literacy and online research skills, the survey included multiple-choice and Likert scale questions. The survey items were modified from recognized scales and instruments that have been tested.

The questionnaire had questions on participants' knowledge of digital tools and technologies, their capacity to judge the reliability and validity of online information sources, their comfort level when undertaking online research, and their knowledge of formal digital literacy training. In order to learn more about the participants' age, gender, academic major, and year of study, demographic questionnaires were also added.

**Data analysis:** Following the collection of survey data, a descriptive statistical analysis was carried out to compile participant replies to the various survey items. For categorical variables, frequency distributions and percentages were computed; for Likert-scale items, means and standard deviations were computed. Based on demographic factors like gender, academic major, and year of study, inferential statistics like t-tests and analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used to find any possible variations in digital literacy and online research abilities.

**Ethics-Related Matters:** Prior to data collection, the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of granted its ethical approval. Before beginning the survey, all participants provided their informed permission. Participants were guaranteed the secrecy and anonymity of their comments, and participation was completely optional.

## Result And Discussion

Table 1. Participants' Demographic Information

Demographic	Frequency	Percentage
Gender		
Male	120	40%
Female	160	53.3%
<b>Other</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>6.7%</b>
Major		
Engineering	80	26.7%
Arts	90	30%
Science	110	36.7%
<b>Other</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>6.7%</b>

Year of Study		
Freshman	70	23.3%
Sophomore	80	26.7%
Junior	100	33.3%
Senior	50	16.7%

Table 1 lists the participant's demographic data. It displays how the participants are distributed according to gender, academic major, and year of study. As an illustration, out of the total participants (N = 300), 40.3% were men, 53.3% were women, and 6.7% identified as someone else. The distribution of participants across different majors and years of study is shown in a similar way. This information sheds light on the sample's variety.

Table 2. Participants' Digital Literacy and Online Research Skills

Aspect	Mean	Standard Deviation
Familiarity with digital tools	3.8	0.9
Ability to evaluate online sources	3.5	1.1
Confidence in online research skills	3.7	1.0
Experience with formal instruction	2.9	1.2

The degrees of digital literacy and online research abilities that participants self-reported are shown in Table 2. Each aspect is given its mean and standard deviation. On a scale of 1 to 5, participants, for instance, reported having a mean acquaintance level with digital tools of 3.8, with a standard deviation of 0.9. The average ability to assess internet sources among participants was 3.5, with a standard deviation that was somewhat higher at 1.1. These numbers shed light on the perceived levels of skill in several areas of digital literacy among the participants.

Table 3. Differences in Digital Literacy and Online Research Skills by Major

Major	Familiarity	Evaluation	Confidence	Instruction
Engineering	3.9	3.4	3.6	2.8
Arts	3.7	3.6	3.8	3.1
Science	3.6	3.5	3.9	3.0
Other	3.5	3.2	3.4	2.7

Based on their academic disciplines, participants' levels of digital literacy and online research expertise are examined in Table 3. It shows the average ratings for each factor across all majors. For instance, those majoring in Arts showed the highest mean confidence in their ability to do online research (3.8), whereas those majoring in Engineering reported the highest mean familiarity with digital technologies (3.9). These comparisons shed light on the differences in skill levels between various academic areas.

The results of this study shed light on the level of digital literacy and online research abilities now possessed by college students, giving educators, organizations, and politicians useful information. The results are analyzed and interpreted in this discussion section in light of the body of prior research, with an emphasis on the practical applications and the larger context of digital literacy instruction.

The participant demographics offer intriguing insights on possible differences in digital literacy and online research abilities. The findings in terms of gender are consistent with other research suggesting a modest gender gap in digital literacy ability (Jin, 2020). Despite the greater participation rate of females, there were no appreciable gender disparities in the reported levels

of digital literacy. This refutes past study (Siddiq & Scherer, 2019) that revealed gender differences in technology-related abilities, suggesting a potential change towards a more equitable distribution of digital skills among genders.

Furthermore, the differences in stated talents among academic majors are notable. Engineering majors were more familiar with digital technologies than other majors, validating prior study that showed technology-intensive disciplines could develop increased digital literacy (Grodotski, 2021). Arts and science majors, on the other hand, showed better trust in online research abilities, highlighting the need of critical information assessment across varied academic fields (Martin et al., 2020).

The study's emphasis on self-reported digital literacy levels and online research abilities sparks debate over the link between perceived skills and objective proficiency. According to the findings, people may overestimate their ability in particular categories. Participants, for example, indicated relatively high levels of trust in their online research skills, but their mean scores for competence to evaluate online sources were lower. Prior study has found a "illusion of competence" in digital literacy (Cates, 2021). This disparity between perceived and real ability highlights the significance of systematic and evidence-based digital literacy teaching (Sulasula., 2023).

The stated levels of formal digital literacy education (mean = 2.9) indicate a major opportunity for development. While instructional efforts have increased, the reported mean implies that more digital literacy education should be included into higher education courses. Gamification, virtual reality, and interactive platforms might bridge this gap by engaging students via immersive learning. The issue, however, is in developing instructional techniques that remain relevant in an ever-changing digital context (Bawden & Robinson, 2009).

The findings are particularly relevant to the digital divide debate, as socioeconomic gaps frequently impact access to technology. While this study did not analyze the digital divide directly, the revealed demographic distribution may imply possible differences in access and skill development. When creating initiatives to increase digital literacy among various student groups, policymakers and institutions must take these discrepancies into account.

When the current results are compared to earlier research, both consistency and variations are seen. For example, our result that participants' confidence in online research abilities is higher than their actual competence coincides with the phenomena seen, implying that the Dunning-Kruger effect persists in the realm of digital literacy. This suggests that systematic treatments may be required for pupils to improve their metacognitive knowledge of their own talents.

In contrast, the lack of substantial gender disparities in reported digital literacy levels contradicts earlier research that found such variances. This shows that the landscape of digital literacy may be shifting as a result of more technology integration in school and a more inclusive approach to skill development. Furthermore, the distribution of digital literacy abilities among academic disciplines reflects the findings of, who found differences in digital literacy ability across different areas. This suggests that targeted therapies targeting the specific needs of diverse fields may be effective.

In a nutshell this study helps to higher education students' comprehension of digital literacy and online research abilities. The findings highlight the significance of organized and integrated digital literacy instruction across several academic subjects. Furthermore, the study's agreement with certain earlier research findings and divergence from others implies a shifting environment of digital skill development and distribution. To promote equitable digital

literacy, educational institutions should prioritize comprehensive pedagogical practices that account for demographic differences and address the developing nature of digital technology.

## Conclusion

In a fast evolving digital ecosystem, higher education institutions must cultivate digital literacy and online research abilities in order to prepare students for the difficulties of the modern world. This study provides a thorough examination of the present level of digital literacy and online research abilities among higher education students, providing light on their perspectives, competencies, and demographic differences. The results of this study demonstrate a complicated interplay between self-perceived skills and objective proficiencies, indicating that students' levels of metacognitive awareness of their digital competences may differ. The reported increased confidence in online research skills compared to actual abilities highlights the need of programs that promote a realistic self-assessment of skill levels. The demographic distribution of participants reveals possible differences in digital literacy and online research skills among various groups. While no significant gender disparities in digital literacy levels were discovered, inequalities between academic disciplines highlight the distinct obstacles and possibilities that exist within various fields of study. These variances reflect the shifting nature of digital literacy, in which technology-rich fields may naturally develop more familiarity with digital technologies. Comparing the findings to previous research gives a helpful insight on the evolving environment of digital literacy education. The departure from typical gender disparities shows a probable trend toward a more fair distribution of digital skills, which might be affected by growing technology integration in school. This emphasizes the significance of remaining up to date on trends in digital literacy research in order to design successful and relevant instructional practices. The study's conclusions have consequences for practice as well as policy. Higher education institutions should think about including digital literacy teaching across the curriculum, customizing approaches to the specific demands of different fields. Incorporating creative pedagogical practices, harnessing educational tools, and cultivating a growth mentality toward digital skill development might all be part of this. Furthermore, the report emphasizes the need of bridging the digital gap. While this disparity was not specifically investigated in the current study, the demographic distribution of participants suggests possible discrepancies in access and skill development. Providing targeted support for disadvantaged groups and ensuring fair access to digital resources are critical elements in closing the digital gap and fostering inclusive digital literacy education. At last the findings of this study add to the continuing discussion about digital literacy and online research abilities in higher education. As technology continues to transform how information is accessed and disseminated, higher education institutions play an important role in preparing students for success in the digital era. Institutions may enable students to traverse the complexity of the digital world and become educated and responsible digital citizens by understanding the subtleties of digital literacy, adopting creative teaching methodologies, and encouraging a holistic approach to skill development. This study provides insights that can help educators, institutions, and policymakers foster digital literacy abilities and guarantee that students are equipped for the challenges and possibilities of the twenty-first century.

## References

- Bravo, M. C. M., Chalezquer, C. S., & Serrano-Puche, J. (2021). Meta-framework of digital literacy: A comparative analysis of 21st-century skills frameworks. *Revista Latina de Comunicacion Social*, (79), 76-109.
- Cates, E. (2021). Item-Specific and Relational Encoding, but not Warnings, are Effective at Reducing the Illusion of Competence.

- Centeio, E., Mercier, K., Garn, A., Erwin, H., Martinen, R., & Foley, J. (2021). The success and struggles of physical education teachers while teaching online during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education*, 40(4), 667-673.
- Ekici, M. (2021). A systematic review of the use of gamification in flipped learning. *Education and Information Technologies*, 26(3), 3327-3346.
- Grodotski, J., Upadhyya, S., & Tekkaya, A. E. (2021). Engineering education amid a global pandemic. *Advances in Industrial and Manufacturing Engineering*, 3, 100058.
- Hashimy, S. Q., Jahromi, A., Hamza, M., Naaz, I., Nyamwero, N. B., & Basavarajappa, H. T. (2023). Nurturing Leadership and Capacity Building for Success: Empowering Growth. *International Journal of Rehabilitation and Special Education*, 3(2), 1-14.
- Jin, K. Y., Reichert, F., Cagasan Jr, L. P., de La Torre, J., & Law, N. (2020). Measuring digital literacy across three age cohorts: Exploring test dimensionality and performance differences. *Computers & Education*, 157, 103968.
- Lembani, R., Gunter, A., Breines, M., & Dalu, M. T. B. (2020). The same course, different access: the digital divide between urban and rural distance education students in South Africa. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, 44(1), 70-84.
- Machete, P., & Turpin, M. (2020). The use of critical thinking to identify fake news: A systematic literature review. In *Responsible Design, Implementation and Use of Information and Communication Technology: 19th IFIP WG 6.11 Conference on e-Business, e-Services, and e-Society, I3E 2020, Skukuza, South Africa, April 6–8, 2020, Proceedings, Part II 19* (pp. 235-246). Springer International Publishing.
- Martin, F., Stamper, B., & Flowers, C. (2020). Examining Student Perception of Readiness for Online Learning: Importance and Confidence. *Online Learning*, 24(2), 38-58.
- McGrew, S. (2020). Learning to evaluate: An intervention in civic online reasoning. *Computers & Education*, 145, 103711.
- Pilgrim, J., Vasinda, S., Bledsoe, C., & Martinez, E. (2019). Critical thinking is critical: Octopuses, online sources, and reliability reasoning. *The Reading Teacher*, 73(1), 85-93.
- Siddiq, F., & Scherer, R. (2019). Is there a gender gap? A meta-analysis of the gender differences in students' ICT literacy. *Educational research review*, 27, 205-217.
- Sulasula, J. (2023). Exploring the viability of Smart Public Universities in the Philippines' Zamboanga Peninsula Region. Available at SSRN 4519456.
- Tseng, J. J., Chai, C. S., Tan, L., & Park, M. (2022). A critical review of research on technological pedagogical and content knowledge (TPACK) in language teaching. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 35(4), 948-971.